arXiv:math/0412076v1 [math.NT] 3 Dec 2004

# On Serre's reciprocity conjecture for 2-dimensional mod $p$ representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$

Chandrashekhar Khare, University of Utah and TIFR *,
Jean-Pierre Wintenberger, Université Louis Pasteur

February 1, 2008

### Abstract

We first prove the existence of *minimally ramified* $p$-adic lifts of 2-dimensional mod $p$ representations $\bar{\rho}$, that are odd and irreducible, of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}$, in many cases. This is predicted by Serre's conjecture that such representations arise from newforms of optimal level and weight.

Using these minimal lifts, and arguments using compatible systems, we prove some cases of Serre's conjectures in low levels and weights. For instance we prove that there are no irreducible $(p,p)$ type group schemes over the rational integers. We prove that a $\bar{\rho}$ as above of Artin conductor 1 and Serre weight 12 arises from the Ramanujan Delta-function.

In the last part of the paper we present arguments that reduce Serre's conjecture on modularity of representations $\bar{\rho}$ as above to proving modularity lifting theorems of the type pioneered by Wiles. While these modularity lifting results are not known as yet they might be relatively accessible because of the basic method of Wiles and Taylor-Wiles and recent developments in the p-adic Langlands programme initiated by Breuil.

---

*partially supported by NSF Grant DMS - 0355528

# Contents

**1 Introduction** **2**

**2 Minimal $p$-adic lifts of odd irreducible 2-dimensional Galois representations $\bar{\rho}$** **5**

**3 Minimal compatible systems that lift semistable $\bar{\rho}$** **15**

**4 Low levels and weights** **18**

**5 Two reductions of residual modularity to modularity lifting results, via induction**
5.1 Level 1 case of Serre's conjecture: reduction to modularity lifting for moderate weights 2
5.2 Killing ramification . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 26

# 1 Introduction

Fix an irreducible 2 dimensional odd mod $p$ representation $\bar{\rho}: \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ with $\mathbb{F}$ a finite field of characteristic $p$ which we assume is odd. We say that such a representation is of *Serre type.* Serre in [44] conjectures that all such representations arise from newforms. The main technique which is presented in this paper results in the reduction of proving this conjecture in many cases to proving generalisations of modularity lifting theorems of the type pioneered by Wiles. By modularity lifting theorems we mean proving that certain characteristic 0 lifts $\rho$ of modular $\bar{\rho}$, i.e., $\bar{\rho}$ such that $\bar{\rho}^{ss}$ arises from a modular form (so by convention if $\bar{\rho}$ is reducible it is modular), are again modular. The ideas of this paper also lead to unconditional proofs of Serre's conjecture in low levels and low weights. (Below we say a Serre type $\bar{\rho}$ is of level one if it is unramified outside $p$).

The 3 main results of this paper are:

1. Liftings of $\bar{\rho}$ to *minimally ramified representations* (see Theorem 2.1).
2. Proof of Serre's conjectures in low levels and weights (see Theorem 4.1, 4.3 and 4.6).
3. The reduction of many cases of Serre's conjecture to modularity lifting theorems. More specifically:

   - ($p$ bigger than 3) The reduction of Serre's conjecture for representations with odd, prime to 3, squarefree Artin conductor and Serre weight 2 to the level one case, assuming modularity lifting theorems for semistable representations between weights 2 and $p+1$ (see Theorem 5.2).

- The reduction of Serre's conjecture in the level one case to modularity lifting theorems (see Theorem 5.1).

These reductions are curious, and at first sight may seem surprising, because modularity lifting results, as their name suggests, *assume* residual modularity. So in fact we show that modularity lifting results in principle (and when proved in sufficient generality even actually) imply residual modularity. For this we need to know modularity lifting results a little beyond what is known presently. (We need modularity of lifts of modular, possibly reducible or dihedral, odd 2 dimensional mod $p$ representations when the lifts are either crystalline and of weight between 2 and $2p$, or semistable of weight between 2 and $p+1$). One might hope, not too extravagantly, to prove these extensions using the basic method of Wiles and Taylor-Wiles, especially because of the work of Breuil, and related developments in the $p$-adic Langlands program due to Berger, Li, Zhu and Mezard (see [11], [12], [4]), and recent developments of the $R = T$ machinery due to Kisin (see [31]).

The main techniques of this paper are:

- The use of known modularity lifting techniques pioneered by Wiles, and Taylor and Wiles and crucial further developments of Taylor, see [50] and [49], which prove a potential version of Serre's conjecture (and that introduced the technique of reducing this to modularity lifting results). This leads to proving finiteness of minimal deformation rings attached to $\bar{\rho} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ (to be defined below: especially what we mean by this at $p$ is delicate and context-dependent). For this, we use base change arguments of the type used by de Jong in [21] and Part II of [9], and then results of Böckle in the appendix to [29]. This gives that these minimal deformation rings are finite, flat complete intersections. This leads to existence of a minimal $p$-adic lift $\rho$ of $\bar{\rho}$. This argument was known in principle to the authors of [30]. We emphasise that this is an existence theorem in a sense, and to us it seems very hard to produce minimal lifts *by hand.*

- Then arguments of Dieulefait and the second author, see [23] and [54] and also [49], are used to make $\rho$ part of a *minimal strictly compatible system.* Then it is immediate to prove that there are no irreducible $(p, p)$ finite flat group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$. Refining these ideas and using refinements of

Fontaine's results in [24] as in work of Brumer and Kramer [14], and Schoof [39], leads to proof of Serre's conjecture in many low level and low weight cases ( for example Serre type $\bar{\rho}$ of Serre weight 12, and level 1, always arises from the Ramanujan $\Delta$ function which uses a beautiful result of Schoof in [39]).

- Arguments using existence of minimal compatible systems of different types to reduce in many cases the semistable case of Serre's conjecture to the level 1 case (this step can be called using *switching* via compatible systems to *kill ramification*) assuming modularity lifting results (see Theorem 5.2). Another use of these arguments, together with the prime number theorem, in fact a much weaker version of it known as *Bertrand's postulate*, produces the last reduction mentioned above (see Theorem 5.1).

It is to be noted that Ramakrishna in [35] has produced liftings in the odd and even case to Witt vectors (while for the lifts we produce in Theorem 2.1, their rationality cannot be controlled). But his lifts are rarely minimally ramified. His methods are those of Galois cohomology, while our lift is produced using results of [49] and the formalism of deformation rings, and thus our methods are quite indirect. Our methods work only in the odd case.

In Part I of [9], lifting methods had also been used to prove an analog of Serre's conjecture for function fields.

The arguments of this paper use crucially the breakthroughs in Wiles [53], Taylor-Wiles [52], and subsequent developments in modularity lifting due to Taylor, Fujiwara, Diamond, Skinner-Wiles et al. The main idea of the paper leads to an inductive approach to Serre's conjecture. There are 2 types of induction involved, one on the number of primes ramified in the residual representation (see Theorem 5.2), and the other on the residual characteristic $p$ of the representation (see Theorem 5.1). For the induction we need a starting point and that is provided by results of Serre and Tate which prove the conjecture for level 1 representations mod 2 and 3. Given such a starting point the method should in principle work for totally real fields.

We also use crucially in many places ideas or themes that we have learnt from Serre's work. His conjectures in [44] have been a great source of inspiration for people in the field, and at a more technical level his specification of the weight in [44], his results on relation between changing weight and $p$-part of the level, see Théorème 11 of [42], his proof of the level one case of his conjectures for $p = 3$ [43] page 710 which provides us the toe-hold (*ongle de prise*!), and the theme of studying compatible systems which was foregrounded via the many beautiful results he proved about them have been a critical influence.

**Acknowledgements:** The first author would like to warmly thank Gebhard Boc̆kle and Ravi Ramakrishna through whom he has learnt much about deformations of Galois representations, in the course of his collaborations with them. He would also like to thank the second author for the invitation to visit Strasbourg, and the Department of Mathematics at Strasbourg for its hospitality during the time when some of the work of this paper was done. We both would like to thank G. Böckle, L. Berger, C. Breuil, H. Carayol, R. Schoof and J-P. Serre for helpful conversations/correspondence in the course of this work.

## 2 Minimal $p$-adic lifts of odd irreducible 2-dimensional Galois representations $\bar{\rho}$

For $F$ a field, $\mathbb{Q} \subset F \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we write $G_F$ for the Galois group of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F$. For $\ell$ a prime, we mean by $D_\ell$ (resp. $I_\ell$) a decomposition (resp. inertia) subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ at $\ell$.

Let $p$ an odd prime. Fix $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ to be an odd irreducible representation. We assume that the Serre weight $k(\bar{\rho})$ is such that $2 \leq k(\bar{\rho}) \leq p+1$. (Note that there is always a twist of $\bar{\rho}$ by some power of the mod $p$ cyclotomic character $\overline{\chi_p}$ that has weights in this range.)

Let $\mathbb{F} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be a finite field such that the image of $\overline{\rho}$ is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, and let $W$ be the Witt vectors $W(\mathbb{F})$. By a *lift* of $\overline{\rho}$, we mean a continuous representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension of the field of fractions of $W$, such that the reduction of $\rho$ modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\rho}$.

Let $\rho$ be such a lift and let $\ell$ be a prime. One says that $\rho$ is *minimally ramified at* $\ell$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

- When $\ell \neq p$, it is minimally ramified at $\ell$ in the terminology of [22]. In particular, if $\overline{\rho}$ is unramified at $\ell$, $\rho$ is unramified at $\ell$. More generally, when the image of $I_\ell$ is of order prime to $p$, $\rho(I_\ell)$ is isomorphic to its reduction $\bar{\rho}(I_\ell)$.

- When $\ell = p$ : If $k(\overline{\rho}) \neq p+1$, $\rho$ is minimally ramified at $p$ if $\rho$ is crystalline of weights $(0, k(\overline{\rho})-1)$. If $k(\overline{\rho}) = p+1$, $\rho$ is *minimally ramified* *of semi-stable type* if $\rho$ is semi-stable non-crystalline of Hodge-Tate weights $(0,1)$; $\rho$ is *minimally ramified of crystalline type* if $\rho$ is crystalline of Hodge-Tate weights $(0,p)$.

Let us make a few comments on the condition for $\ell = p$, $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$. Let $\chi_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ be the $p$-adic cyclotomic character and $\overline{\chi_p}$ its reduction modulo $p$. If $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ the decomposition group $D_p$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\chi_p}\epsilon & \eta \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon$ is an unramified character, and $\eta$ is a "très ramifié" 1-cocycle, which corresponds via Kummer theory to an element of $\mathbb{Q}_p^* \otimes \mathbb{F}$ whose image by the map defined by the valuation of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a non-zero element of $\mathbb{F}$.

The lifting $\rho$ is minimally ramified of semi-stable type if the restriction of $\rho$ to $I_p$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_p & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As Kummer theory easily shows, this implies that the restriction of $\rho$ to the decomposition group $D_p$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_p\widehat{\epsilon} & * \\ 0 & \widehat{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\widehat{\epsilon}$ is an unramified character lifiting $\epsilon$.

The lifting $\rho$ is minimally ramified of crystalline type if the restriction of $\rho$ to $I_p$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_p^p & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, by Bloch and Kato (3.9 of [6]), we know that such representations are exactly the crystalline non-irreducible representations of $D_p$ of Hodge-Tate weights $(0,p)$ . Furthermore, by Berger, Li and Zhu (cor. 4.1.3. and prop. 4.1.4. of [4]), we know that the reduction of an irreducible crystalline representation of $D_p$ of Hodge-Tate weights $(0,p)$ is isomorphic to an unramified twist of $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\omega_2^p)$, where $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ is the quadratic unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\omega_2$ is the fundamental character of level 2: in particular, it is not isomorphic to the "très ramifiée" representation.

The determinant of $\bar{\rho}$ is $\overline{\chi_p}^{k(\bar{\rho})-1}\epsilon$ where $\epsilon$ is a character of conductor prime to $p$ ([44]). For $\ell \neq p$, the restriction to $I_\ell$ of the determinant of a minimal lift of $\bar{\rho}$ is the Teichmuller lift ([22]). A semi-stable representation of $I_p$ of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, k-1)$ has determinant $\chi_p^{k-1}$. So we see that a minimal lift of $\bar{\rho}$ (of crystalline type if $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$) has determinant $\chi_p^{k(\bar{\rho})-1}\widehat{\epsilon}$, where $\widehat{\epsilon}$ is the Teichmuller lift of $\epsilon$. If $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, a minimal lift of semi-stable type has determinant $\chi_p\widehat{\epsilon}$.

As it is suggested in 5.2. of [30], using Böckle's appendix to [29] and Taylor's theorems in [49] and [50], one can produce minimal lifts of Serre type $\bar{\rho}$:

**Theorem 2.1** *Let $p$ be a prime $> 3$. Let $\overline{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be an odd absolutely irreducible representation. We suppose that $2 \leq k(\bar{\rho}) \leq p+1$ and $k(\bar{\rho}) \neq p$. Then $\bar{\rho}$ has a lift $\rho$ which is minimally ramified at every $\ell$, and if the Serre weight is $k(\overline{\rho}) = p+1$, one can impose that $\rho$ be either of crystalline type (of weight $p+1$) or of semi-stable type (of weight $2$).*

The rest of the section will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1. We deal with the cases in Theorem 2.1 when the image of $\bar{\rho}$ is solvable right away. In this case the asserted lifts in Theorem 2.1 come from the fact that by Langlands-Tunnell one knows the modularity of $\bar{\rho}$ and then one uses the fact that the recipe in [44] for optimal weights and levels from which $\bar{\rho}$ arises has been proven to be correct as a result of the work of a number of people, see [37] (note that we are assuming that $p > 3$). In the weight $p+1$ case we see that there is a semistable weight 2 lift (that arises from a newform in fact) which is minimal, starting from the crystalline weight $p+1$ lift (which we know arises from a newform), by using a standard argument that relies on the fact that $\mathbb{F}$-valued functions on the projective line over $\mathbb{F}_p$ as a $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ module decomposes as a sum of the trivial representation and $\mathrm{Symm}^{p-1}(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$.

From now on, we suppose that the image of $\bar{\rho}$ is not solvable. For the proof of the theorem, we have to consider minimally ramified deformations $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$ of $\overline{\rho}$. Let $R$ be a local profinite $W$-algebra, with an isomorphism of $R/\mathcal{M}_R$ with $\mathbb{F}$ with $\mathcal{M}_R$ the maximal ideal of $R$ ($W$ is as above the Witt ring $W(\mathbb{F})$). A deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ is a continuous representation $\gamma : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$ such that $\gamma \bmod \mathcal{M}_R$ is $\bar{\rho}$, where we take $\gamma$ up to conjugation by matrices that are 1 mod $\mathcal{M}_R$. We say that the deformation is minimally ramified, if:

- for $\ell \neq p$, $\gamma$ is minimal in the sense of [22];

- if $k(\bar{\rho}) < p$, the restriction of $\gamma$ to $D_p$ comes from a Fontaine-Laffaille module;

- if $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, the restriction of $\gamma$ to $I_p$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_p^{k-1} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $k = p+1$ if we are in the crystalline type, and $k = 2$ if we are in the semi-stable type.

The condition of being minimally ramified is a deformation condition in the sense of [32], and hence the minimally ramified deformation problem has a universal object. More precisely, if $k(\bar{\rho}) \neq p+1$, there exists a universal minimally ramified deformation $\rho_{\mathrm{univ}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R_{\mathrm{univ}})$; if $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, we have two universal rings $R_{\mathrm{univ,ss}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{univ,crys}}$.

Theorem 2.1 follows from:

**Theorem 2.2** *Let $\bar{\rho}$ as in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that the image of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not solvable. Then, $R_{\mathrm{univ}}$ if $k(\bar{\rho}) \neq p+1$, and $R_{\mathrm{univ,crys}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{univ,ss}}$ if $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, are finite flat complete intersection $W$-algebras.*

**Proof.** Define for each $\ell$, the $W$-algebra $R_\ell$ of versal deformations of $\overline{\rho}_{|D_\ell}$ which are minimally ramified (if $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, we have to consider the two $W$-algebras $R_{p,\mathrm{crys}}$ and $R_{p,\mathrm{ss}}$) and such that the determinant is the restriction to $D_\ell$ of $\chi_p^{k-1}\widehat{\epsilon}$, with $k = k(\bar{\rho})$ except in the case $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$ and we are in the case of semi-stable type, and then $k = 2$.

Proposition 1 of Böckle (appendix to the article of the first author [29]) says that if the $W$-algebras $R_\ell$ are flat, complete intersections of relative dimension $\dim_\kappa(H^0(D_\ell, \mathrm{ad}^0(\overline{\rho})) + \epsilon_\ell$ with $\epsilon_\ell = 0$ if $\ell \neq p$ and $\epsilon_p = 1$, then the $W$-algebra $R_{\mathrm{univ}}$ has a presentation as a CNL $W$-algebra as

$$W[[X_1, \cdots, X_r]]/(f_1, \cdots, f_s)$$

with $r \geq s$. Recall that $\mathrm{ad}^0(\overline{\rho})$ is the subspace in the adjoint representation of matrices of trace 0.

Except in the case of $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$ and $R_\ell$ is $R_{p,\mathrm{crys}}$, it is proved by Ramakrishna ([35]) and Taylor ([51]) that $R_\ell$ is smooth over $W$ of the dimension asked for in Böckle's proposition. For $R_\ell = R_{p,\mathrm{crys}}$ in the case when $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, it is proved by Böckle that $R_{p,\mathrm{crys}}$ is a relative complete intersection of relative dimension 1 (remark 7.5 (iii) of [8]). For the convenience of the reader we give a proof of this result of Böckle.

**Proposition 2.3** *In the case $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$, the $W$-algebra $R_{p,\mathrm{crys}}$ is formally smooth of dimension 1.*

**Proof.** Let $U$ be the the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space underlying the representation $\bar{\rho}$. Call $F^1U$ the line stable under $D_p$. Let $F^0$ be the sub-space of the endomorphisms of $\mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho})$ which respects the filtration $U \supset F^1U$ and let $F^1 \subset F^0$ be the sub-space of elements of $F^0$ that act trivially on $U/F^1U \oplus F^1U$. As deformations of crystalline or semi-stable type are easily seen to be triangular, we see that the relative cotangent spaces $\mathcal{M}/(p, \mathcal{M}^2)$ for the crystalline or semi-stable type deformations are isomorphic to the kernel of the map :

$$H^1(D_p, F^0) \to H^1(I_p, F^0/F^1)^{D_p/I_p}.$$

One knows that, as $\bar{\rho}$ is "très ramifiée", that the dimension of the kernel is 1 (Lemma 29 of [20]). We see that $R_{p,\mathrm{crys}}$ is a quotient of $W[[T]]$. If $f$ is a non zero element of $W[[T]]$, there are only finitely many morphisms of $W$-algebras $W[[T]]/(f) \to W$. Thus to prove that $R_{p,\mathrm{crys}}$ is isomorphic to $W[[T]]$, it suffices to show that $\bar{\rho}$ has infinitely many inequivalent liftings $D_p \to \mathrm{GL}_2(W)$. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\rho_n : D_p \to \mathrm{GL}_2(W/p^n)$ be a lifting of $\bar{\rho}$ of crystalline type. Let us lift it modulo $p^{n+1}$.

In a convenient basis, $\rho_n$ is of the form :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta & \eta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\delta : D_p \to (W/p^n)^*$ is a character whose reduction modulo $p$ is $\overline{\chi_p}$ and whose restriction to $I_p$ is the reduction mod. $p^n$ of $\chi_p^p$. Let $\widehat{\delta}$ be a lifting mod. $p^{n+1}$ of $\delta$ whose restriction to $I_p$ is the reduction of $\chi_p^p$. Let $\gamma : D_p \to \mathbb{F}$ be an unramified character. We define the character $\delta_\gamma : D_p \to (W/p^{n+1}W)^*$ by : $\delta_\gamma(\sigma) = 1 + p^n\gamma(\sigma) \bmod p^{n+1}$.

Write $f_\gamma$ for the connecting homomorphism : $H^1(W/p^nW(\delta)) \to H^2(\mathbb{F}(\overline{\chi_p}))$ for the exact sequence :

$$(0) \to \mathbb{F}(\overline{\chi_p}) \to W/p^{n+1}W(\widehat{\delta}\delta_\gamma) \to W/p^nW(\delta) \to (0)$$

(the cohomology is for the group $D_p$). As the restriction to $I_p$ of the reduction modulo $p^2$ of $\chi_p\ \widehat{\delta}^{-1}\delta_\gamma^{-1}$ is non trivial, the map :

$$H^0(W/p^{n+1}W(\chi_p\ \widehat{\delta}^{-1}\delta_\gamma^{-1})) \to H^0(\mathbb{F})$$

is zero. By Tate local duality this implies that $f_\gamma$ is surjective. We have the exact sequence :

$$(0) \to H^1(\mathbb{F}(\overline{\chi_p})) \to H^1(W/p^{n+1}W(\widehat{\delta}\delta_\gamma)) \to H^1(W/p^nW(\delta)) \to H^2(\mathbb{F}(\overline{\chi_p})) \to (0).$$

Let us still denote by $\eta$ the class in $H^1(\mathbb{F}(\overline{\chi_p}))$ of the cocycle $\eta$. A direct calculation gives that $f_\gamma(\eta) - f_0(\eta)$ is the cup product of $\gamma \in H^1(\mathbb{F})$ and the reduction $\overline{\eta} \in H^1(\mathbb{F}(\overline{\chi_p}))$ of $\eta$. As $\overline{\eta}$ is "très ramifié", class field theory implies that, if $\gamma$ is non zero, this cup product is non zero. We find a (unique) $\gamma_1$ such that the $f_{\gamma_1}(\eta) = 0$. This implies that $\eta$ lifts to an $\widehat{\eta} \in H^1(W/p^{n+1}W(\widehat{\delta}\delta_{\gamma_1}))$. The representation $\rho_n$ has a crystalline type lifting modulo $p^{n+1}$. The cardinal $\mid H^1(\mathbb{F}(\overline{\chi_p})) \mid$ is $\mid \mathbb{F} \mid^2$. As two cohomology classes $\widehat{\eta}$ give rise to equivalent liftings exactly when they differ by an element of $1 + p^n W/1 + p^{n+1}W$, we have $\mid \mathbb{F} \mid$ non equivalent liftings of crystalline type modulo $p^{n+1}$ of $\rho_n$. This proves that $\bar{\rho}$ has infinitely many liftings to $W$ and proves the proposition. $\square$

From now on we denote by $R_{\text{univ}}$ the deformation ring we consider. If we can prove that $R_{\text{univ}}$ is a finitely generated $W$-module, we are done by a standard argument (see for example Lemma 2 of the above quoted appendix of Böckle). Namely we see easily that the sequence $f_1, \cdots, f_s, p$ is regular, and this gives that $R_{\text{univ}}$ is a finite flat complete intersection over $W$. So one has only to prove that $R_{\text{univ}}/pR_{\text{univ}}$ is of finite cardinality. We prove a lemma that reduces this to proving that $\rho_{\text{univ}}$ mod $p$, which we denote by $\overline{\rho_{\text{univ}}}$, has finite image (this is inspired by Lemma 3.15 of de Jong ([21]):

**Lemma 2.4** *Let $\kappa$ be a finite field of characteristic $p$, $G$ a profinite group satisfying the $p$-finiteness condition (chap. 1 of Mazur [32]) and $\eta : G \to \mathrm{GL}_N(\kappa)$ be an absolutely irreducible continuous representation. Let $\mathcal{F}_N(\kappa)$ a subcategory of deformations of $\eta$ in $\kappa$-algebras which satisfy the conditions of 23 of [32]. Let $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : G \to \mathrm{GL}_N(R_{\mathcal{F}})$ be the universal deformation of $\eta$ in $\mathcal{F}_N(\kappa)$. Then $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ is finite if and only if $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is finite.*

**Proof.** It is clear that if $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ is finite, $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is finite. Let us suppose that $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is finite. As $\eta$ is absolutely irreducible, a theorem of Carayol says that $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ is generated by the traces of the $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}(g)$, $g \in G$ ([17]). As $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is finite, for each prime ideal $\wp$ of $R_{\mathcal{F}}$, the images of these traces in the quotient $R_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp$ are sums of roots of unity, and there is a finite number of them. We see that $R_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp$ is a finite extension of $\kappa$. It follows that the noetherian ring $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ is of dimension 0, and so is finite.$\square$

We show now that $\overline{\rho_{\text{univ}}}$ has finite image. We begin by proving (see also Lemma 2.12 of [21]):

*Claim:* for each $\ell \neq p$, $\overline{\rho_{\text{univ}}}$ is finitely ramified at $\ell$. In fact, the order of $\overline{\rho_{\text{univ}}}(I_\ell)$ is the same as that of $\bar{\rho}(I_\ell)$.

*Proof of claim:* The only case that needs argument is when the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to $I_\ell$ is of type:

$$\xi \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\phi$ a ramified character. The minimality condition implies that the restriction of $\rho_{\text{univ}}$ to $I_\ell$ is of the form:

$$\tilde{\xi} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tilde{\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\tilde{\xi}$ beeing the Teichmuller lift of $\xi$. The morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ is tamely ramified, so its image is cyclic. As $R_{\text{univ}}/pR_{\text{univ}}$ is a $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, $p\tilde{\phi} = 0$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ has image of order $p$. This proves the claim.

We have the following crucial proposition that follows easily from the results of Taylor in [50] (in the ordinary case) and [49] (in the supersingular case), and is the key input in the proof of Theorem 2.2. For a totally real field $F$ of even degree, let $B_F$ be the unique (up to isomorphism) totally definite quaternion algebra that is unramified at all finite places. We recall that we are assuming that the image of $\bar{\rho}$ is not solvable.

**Proposition 2.5** *There exists a totally real field $F$ of even degree with the following properties:*

*(i) $F$ is unramified at $p$, and in the case when $\bar{\rho}|_{D_p}$ is irreducible, $F$ is split at $p$,*

*(ii) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F}$ is absolutely irreducible and non-dihedral,*

*(iii) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F}$ is unramified outside the primes above $p$ and arises from a cuspidal automorphic form $\pi$ for $B_F$ that is unramified at all finite places and is of weight $k = k(\bar{\rho})$ at all the infinite places, and which is ordinary at places above $p$ when $\bar{\rho}$ is ordinary at $p$ ($\bar{\rho}|_{I_p}$ has a quotient of dimension $1$ with trivial action). In the weight $p+1$ case there is also a cuspidal automorphic form $\pi'$ for $B_F$ that is unramified at all finite places prime to $p$, is the Steinberg representation at all places above $p$, and is of weight $2$ at all the infinite places, and which is ordinary at places above $p$.*

**Proof.** Property (ii) is satisfied for all totally real $F$ by lemma 2.6.

The supersingular case is covered in [49] explicitly (see Theorem 5.7 of [49]), while the ordinary case is not but can be deduced from the arguments of [50]. Thus we treat below only the case when $\bar{\rho}$ is ordinary.

Let us borrow for a moment the notations of Taylor [50], even if it contradicts the notations of this paper, for the next 4 paragraphs.

Let $A$ be the abelian variety given by application of Moret-Bailly's theorem in [50] p. 137 (so we make the twist at the bottom of p. 136). In particular, $A$ is defined over the real field $E$ (our $F$), $\bar{\rho}$ has values in a finite field of characteristic $\ell$ (our $p$). The abelian variety $A$ is of Hilbert-Blumenthal type with multiplication by the real field $M$. There is a prime $\lambda$ of $M$ above $\ell$ such that the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to $G_E$ is isomorphic to the $G_F$- representation on $A[\lambda]$, the points of $A$ killed by $\lambda$. The compatible system of $G_E$-representations attached to $A$ is modular, say comes from an automorphic form $\pi_A$ of parallel weight 2.

Let $x$ a place of $E$ above $\ell$. We use Lemma 1.5 of [50] to get the needed information for $(\pi_A)_x$, namely we will prove that it is ordinary (with respect to the place $\lambda$ of $M$). Let $n = \ell - k(\bar{\rho}) + 1$ if $k(\bar{\rho}) \neq 2$ and $n = 0$ if $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$. Note that $n$ is as in Lemma 1.5 of [50]. Note that as we are assuming $k(\bar{\rho}) \neq \ell$, we have $n \neq 1$ and Lemma 1.5 applies. We have $0 \leq n < \ell - 1$ and for a place $x$ of $E$ above $l$, the $\lambda$-adic representation arising from $A$ when restricted of the decomposition group $G_x$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\chi_2$ unramified and the restriction of $\chi_1$ to the inertia subgroup $I_x$ of $G_x$ is the reduction of $\epsilon^{-n}$, $\epsilon$ being the cyclotomic character (acting on $\ell^*$ roots of unity). We know by the proof of Lemma 1.5 that $A$ has mutiplicative reduction over $E_x$ or good reduction over $E_x(\zeta_\ell)$. Furthermore, there is a prime $\wp$ above $p \neq \ell$ such that the action of $G_x$ on $A[\wp]$ has the form $\psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$, with $\psi_2$ unramified and the restriction of $\psi_1$ to $I_x$ is $\omega^{-n}$ where $\omega$ still denote the reduction of the Teichmuller lift $\omega$ of $\epsilon$.

In the case $n = 0$ ($k(\bar{\rho}) = \ell + 1$ or 2), we see by looking at the Tate module $T_\wp(A)$ that $A$ has semistable ordinary reduction over $E_x$. If $k(\bar{\rho}) = \ell + 1$, $A$ has mutiplicative reduction at all $x$ over $\ell$ and $(\pi_A)_x$ is Steinberg. When $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$, and $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \neq 1$, Taylor finds, for a place $v$ of $F$ above $\ell$, an abelian variety $A_v$ over $F_v$ with ordinary good reduction. The theorem of Moret-Bailly [34] produces for us an abelian variety $A$ with good reduction at all primes $x$ of $E$ above $\ell$ such that the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to $G_E$ is isomorphic to the $G_F$- representation on $A[\lambda]$. We see that, if we choose $A$ like this, $(\pi_A)_x$ is unramified.

(If $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$ and $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} = 1$, we are in the case $\chi_v^2 = 1$ of the proof of Lemma 1.2 of Taylor. But, as the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to $G_v$ comes from a finite flat group scheme over the ring of integers of $F_v$, we can choose the abelian variety $A_v$ that figures in Lemma 1.2 which good ordinary reduction, by the same arguments as Taylor uses when $\chi_v^2 \neq 1$. This is because the class in $H^1(G_v, O_M/\lambda(\epsilon))$ of the extension defined by $\bar{\rho}_{|G_v}$ comes from units by Kummer theory. Then, as above, we can choose $A$ with good ordinary reduction at all places $x$ of $E$ above $\ell$. Then $(\pi_A)_x$ is unramified at these places. We have proved the proposition if $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$. If we proceed this way, we may obviate the use of level-lowering results of [28] at the end of the proof of the proposition.)

Suppose now $n \neq 0$. Then, looking at the Tate module $T_\wp(A)$, we see that the abelian variety $A$ has good reduction over $E_x(\zeta_\ell)$. Let $A[\lambda]^0$ and $A[\lambda]^{\mathrm{et}}$ the connected and etale components of the $\lambda$-kernel of the reduction at $x$ of the Néron model of $A$ over $E_x(\zeta_\ell)$. Let $T_\lambda(A)$, $T_\lambda^0(A)$ and $T_\lambda^{\mathrm{et}}(A)$ be the corresponding Tate-modules and let $D$, $D^0$ and $D^{\mathrm{et}}$ the correponding Dieudonné modules. We have $D = D^0 \oplus D^{\mathrm{et}}$. Taylor proves in Lemma 1.5 that $I_x$ acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(A[\lambda]^0)$ by multiplication by $\omega^{-n}$ and trivially on $A[\lambda]^{\mathrm{et}}$. As the action of $I_x$ on $D$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(E_x(\zeta_\ell)/E_x)$, it follows that $I_x$ acts on $D$ by multiplication by $\omega^{-n}$ on $D^0$ and trivially on $D^{\mathrm{et}}$. By the appendix B in Conrad-Diamond-Taylor [19] it follows that the action of the Weil-Deligne group $WD_x$ on the compatible system of Galois representations attached to $A$ factors through the Weil group and has the form $\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2$, with $\eta_2$ unramified and $\eta_2(\mathrm{Frob}_x)$ a $\lambda$-adic unit, and the restriction of $\eta_1$ to $I_x$ is $\omega^{-n}$. It follows that $(\pi_A)$ is ordinary at $x$, with nebentypus $\Psi$ such that $\Psi\omega^{-n}$ is unramified at every place of $E$. Using the base change technique of Skinner-Wiles [46] we may also ensure, after base change to a totally real solvable extension of $E$ that is unramified at primes above $p$, that $\pi_A$ is unramified at primes not lying above $p$ (and is still ordinary at primes above $p$).

We revert now to the notation of the present paper, i.e., $\ell$ is now $p$ and $E$ is $F$.

We use weight shifting arguments due to Hida which give that projection onto the highest weight vector of coefficients induces an isomorphism on the ordinary part of the cohomology. Namely, by the arguments in Section 8 of [26] (note that his arguments apply in our situation, as $p > 3$ and $p$ is unramified in $F$ and then use Lemma 1.1 of [49], although the neatness assumption of [27] need not be satified here) we may deduce from the previous paragraph (i.e., the existence of ordinary $\pi_A$ as above that is of weight $(2, \cdots, 2)$ at infinity, unramified away from $p$, and at places $\wp$ above $p$ is principal series of conductor dividing $\wp$) that $\bar{\rho}$ arises from a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $B_F(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which is is unramified at all finite places that are prime to $p$, at places $\wp$ above $p$ is either an unramified principal series or Steinberg (and hence again of conductor dividing $\wp$), and is of weight $(k(\bar{\rho}), \cdots, k(\bar{\rho}))$ at infinity. Further $\pi$ is ordinary for all such $\wp$. Also when $k(\bar{\rho}) = p + 1$, one may choose a $\pi'$ as above except that it has weight $(2, \cdots, 2)$ at infinity. Now when $k(\bar{\rho})$ is not 2 we are done as then forms that are Steinberg at a place above $p$ cannot be ordinary at that prime for weights bigger than 2. The Serre weight 2 case needs an additional argument. We have to ensure that one can choose $\pi$ of parallel weight $(2, \cdots, 2)$, which is unramified at all places and gives rise to $\bar{\rho}$. This follows from arguments using Mazur's principle proved by Jarvis (see Theorem 6.2 of [28]).

$\square$

We prove the general well-known lemma used in the proof above.

**Lemma 2.6** *($p > 2$) Let $\eta : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ be an odd Galois representation. Suppose that the image of $\eta$ is not solvable (so $\eta$ is absolutely irreducible). Let $F'$ be a Galois totally real finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ contained in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $F''$ be a quadratic extension of $F'$. Then $\eta(G_{F''})$ is not solvable ; in particular, the restriction of $\eta$ to $G_{F'}$ is non dihedral.*

**Proof.** Let $H$ be the the image of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$. So, by Dickson (th. 2.47. of [20]), $H$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ for $p^r \neq 2, 3$, or is isomorphic to $A_5$ : the $p^r = 2, 3$, triangular, dihedral, $A_4$, $S_4$, cases are excluded as $H$ is not solvable.

As $\eta$ is odd, the image of a complex conjugation in $H$ is non trivial. The image $H'$ of $G_{F'}$ in $H$ is a non trivial normal subgroup. If $H$ is $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ with $p^r \neq 2, 3$, $H'$ contains the simple group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$. In the $A_5$ case, $H' = A_5$. As $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ and $A_5$ do not have a subgroup of indice 2, the image of $G_{F''}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ contains $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ or $A_5$. This proves the lemma.$\square$

We return to the proof of Theorem 2.2. Choose $F$ as in Lemma 2.5. We show that $\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{univ}}}|_{G_F}$ has finite image for this choice of $F$, which clearly implies that $\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{univ}}}$ has finite image, which by Lemma 2.4 implies Theorem 2.2.

Let $\rho_{\mathrm{univ},F} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R_{\mathrm{univ},F})$ be the universal, minimally ramified $W$-deformation of the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to $G_F$: recall that it is unramified at every prime of $F$ of residual characteristic $\neq p$ and is minimally ramified at every prime above $p$, and for primes above $p$, we take the same conditions as we have taken to define $\rho_{\mathrm{univ}}$ (and their variants for the 2 deformation rings when $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$). Because of the claim we proved above $\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{univ}}}|_{G_F}$ is a specialisation of $\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{univ},F}}$, amd it will be enough to prove that $\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{univ},F}}$ has finite image.

From Fujiwara's generalisation in [25] of $R = T$ theorems of [53] to the case of totally real fields in the ordinary case (see also [47]), and Theorem 3.3 of [49] in the supersingular case (note that we can apply these lifting theorems because of Lemma 2.6, and as we are excluding weight $p$, and in weight $p+1$ all our lifts are ordinary) we deduce from Proposition 2.5 that $R_{\mathrm{univ},F}$ is finite as a $W$-module. This comes from proving an $R = T$ theorem for $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F}$, which identify the universal deformation ring with the completion of the ordinary Hecke algebra of Hida acting on cusp forms over $F$ of level 1 and parallel weight $k(\bar{\rho})$ (except when we consider the "très ramifié" case and the deformation ring $R_{\mathrm{univ,ss}}$ when the cusp forms will be of weight 2 unramified at all primes not above $p$, and for primes above $p$ will be special). From this it follows that $\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{univ},F}}$ has finite image, and hence we are done with the proof of Theorem 2.2.

□

# 3 Minimal compatible systems that lift semistable $\bar{\rho}$

Let $p$ be prime $> 3$, and $\bar{\rho}$ be as before of Serre type, i.e., an odd, irreducible 2 dimensional representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. We further assume from now on that it is *semistable*. By semistable we mean as usual that for primes outside $p$ ramification is unipotent, and that at $p$ (slightly unusually) we require that the Serre weight $k(\bar{\rho})$ is $\leq p+1$ (all representations after twisting by some power of the mod $p$ cyclotomic character $\overline{\chi_p}$ have such a weight). We remark that the determinant of such a $\bar{\rho}$ is $\overline{\chi_p}^{k(\bar{\rho})-1}$, hence $k(\bar{\rho})$ is even. As $k(\bar{\rho}) \neq p$, Theorem 2.1 applies.

The following theorem is easily deduced from the arguments of Dieulefait, see [23] and [54], after Theorem 2.1. The proofs of [23] and [54] relies on the method of proof of Theorem 6.6 of Taylor's paper [49].

Below, for a 2-dimensional $p$-adic representation to be crystalline at $p$ of weight $k$ we require that the Hodge-Tate weights are $(0, k-1)$. By strictly compatible system of representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, we mean that for a given prime $q$ the $F$-semisimplification of the Weil-Deligne parameter at $q$ is isomorphic for all the places $\lambda$ (including places $\lambda$ whose residue characteristic $\ell$ is $q$), while by compatible we require this only for $\lambda$ whose residue characteristic is prime to $q$.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let $\bar{\rho}$ be a semistable representation of Serre type. Then $\bar{\rho}$ has a minimally ramified lift $\rho$ by Theorem 2.1 which at $p$ is either crystalline of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$, or in the weight $p+1$ case can also be chosen to be of semistable type of weight 2 at $p$. We can further impose :*

*(i) assume $\rho$ is unramified outside $p$ and crystalline at $p$ (equivalently, $\bar{\rho}$ is of level 1 and in the weight $p+1$ case we consider the crystalline lift). There is a number field $E$ and a compatible system of representations $(\rho_\lambda)$ with $\lambda$ running through the set of finite places of $E$ such that at a place above $p$, the member of the compatible system at that place is $\rho$. Further the Weil-Deligne parameters are unramified at all primes except perhaps for $\lambda$ and $q$ of the same characteristic 2 (thus, in particular, $\rho_\lambda$ is crystalline at places whose residue characteristic is the same as that of $\lambda$ and is not 2).*

*(ii) Assume the condition of (i) is not satisfied, thus either $\rho$ is ramified at a prime outside $p$, or at $p$ it is semistable of weight 2 (equivalently, $\bar{\rho}$ is not of level 1, or in the weight $p+1$ case we consider the semistable weight 2 lifting). Then there is a number field $E$ and a strictly compatible system of representations $(\rho_\lambda)$ with $\lambda$ running through the set of finite places of $E$ such that at a place above $p$, the member of the compatible system at that place is $\rho$. Further $(\rho_\lambda)$ arises from the étale cohomology of some variety over $\mathbb{Q}$, and when $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$ or $p+1$ (and we consider weight 2 semistable lifting $\bar{\rho}$), we may choose $E$ so that there exists an abelian variety $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension $[E:\mathbb{Q}]$ and an embedding $\mathcal{O}_E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(A/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $(\rho_\lambda)$ is equivalent to the representation on the $\lambda$-adic Tate module of $A$. Further $A$ has semistable and bad (multiplicative) reduction reduction only at the primes dividing the prime to $p$ part of the Artin conductor of $\bar{\rho}$, and also $p$ when $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$.*

**Proof.**

We sketch the proof for completeness, although as said above this is proved in [23] and [54].

When $\bar{\rho}$ has solvable image this follows from the fact that Serre's conjecture is known for $\bar{\rho}$.

So we assume that the image of $\bar{\rho}$ is not solvable. Then, by Theorem 3.3 and lemma 5.6. of [49] (supersingular case) and [50], [25], [47] (ordinary case), we know that there is a totally real field $F$ unramified at $p$, of even degree, such that $\rho|_{G_F}$ arises from a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ that is holomorphic of parallel weight $(k(\bar{\rho}), \cdots, k(\bar{\rho}))$ (or also from such a $\pi$ of weight $(2, \cdots, 2)$ when $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$), and such that the local components of $\pi$ at finite places are either Steinberg or unramified principal series.

Furthermore, by Arthur-Clozel solvable base change ([2]), we know that for each $F' \subset F$ such that $F/F'$ has solvable Galois group, the restriction of $\rho$ to $G_{F'}$ comes from an automorphic representation $\pi_{F'}$ of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$. By the argument using Brauer's theorem as in proof of Theorem 6.6 of [49], we then have a finite extension $E$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ and a system $(\rho_\lambda)$ of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, $\lambda$ describing the finite places of $E$. There is a place $\lambda$ of $E$ above $p$ such that $\rho_\lambda$ is isomorphic to $\rho$. The system $(\rho_\lambda)$ satifies the weak compatibility property that there exists a finite set $S$ of primes of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\rho_\lambda$ is unramified outside $S$ and the residual characteristic $\ell$ of $\lambda$, and such that, for $q \notin S$, the characteristic polynomials of $\rho_\lambda(\mathrm{Frob}_q)$ are the same for $\lambda$ not over $q$.

To get the finer compatibility properties, one uses that, for $F' \subset F$ such that $F/F'$ has solvable Galois group, the system $(\rho_\lambda)$ restricted to $G_{F'}$ comes from $\pi_{F'}$. Let $q$ be a prime number. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a prime of $F$ above $q$ and let $F(\mathcal{Q})$ be the subfield of $F$ fixed by the decomposition group $\subset \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ at $\mathcal{Q}$. We know that the restriction of $(\rho_\lambda)$ to $G_{F(\mathcal{Q})}$ comes from $\pi_{F(\mathcal{Q})}$. We deduce the compatibility properties required by applying to $\pi_{F(\mathcal{Q})}$ :

- if $\lambda$ is not above $q$, the theorem of Carayol ([16]) completed by Taylor ([48]);

- if we are in the case (i) and $\lambda$ is above $q \neq 2$, the theorems of Breuil ([10]) and Berger ([3]) to get that $\rho$ is crystalline at $q$;

- if we are in the case (ii), the theorem of Saito ([38]) because we know that $\pi_{F(\mathcal{Q})}$ is Steinberg at one prime of $F(\mathcal{Q})$ (note that if $\rho$ is unramified outside $p$, $\rho$ is semistable not crystalline of weight 1 at $p$, and it follows from [10] and [3] that $\pi_{F(\mathcal{Q})}$ is not unramified at primes above $p$).

The last statement of (ii) follows from the arguments used for Corollary E, or Corollary 2.4, of [50] which use results of Blasius-Rogawski ([5]). Let us do it when $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$ or $p+1$ (and we consider weight 2 semistable lifting $\bar{\rho}$). By [5], we know that the restriction of $\rho$ to $G_F$ is a direct factor of the Tate module of an abelian variety defined over $F$. By Galois descent, $\rho$ also is a direct factor of the Tate module $V_p(A)$ of an ablian variety $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, which we may assume is simple. Let $E_A$ be the center of the skew field $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$. Replacing $A$ by a simple factor of $A \otimes_{E_A} E'$ for $E'$ a finite extension of $E_A$ that splits $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$, we may suppose that $E_A = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$. By Faltings, we have an embedding of $i$ of $E_A$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $V_p(A) \otimes_{E_A,i} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is isomorphic to $\rho$. Enlarging $E$ so it contains $E_A$ and replacing $A$ by $A \otimes_{E_A} E$, we get our abelian variety. It is semistable over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the properties of $(\rho_\lambda)$.

□

**Remark:** It is easy to see that all members of the compatible system are irreducible. We say that the compatible system has good reduction at a place $v$ if the Weil-Deligne parameter at $v$, $(\tau, N)$, is such that $\tau$ is unramified and $N = 0$, and we say it has semistable reduction at $v$ if $\tau$ is unramified. We will say that the $(\rho_\lambda)$ of the theorem give a lift of $\bar{\rho}$ to a *minimal strictly compatible system.*

# 4 Low levels and weights

Theorem 3.1 when combined with modularity lifting results in [53], [45], and the theorems of Fontaine, [24], together with their generalisations due to Brumer and Kramer, and Schoof, [14], [39], and [40], has a number of corollaries.

Part (i) of the following consequence of his conjectures was spelled out by Serre in Section 4.5 of [44] and which we now prove unconditionally.

**Theorem 4.1** *(i) There is no finite flat group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ of $(p,p)$ type which is irreducible. (In fact there is no irreducible, odd 2-dimensional representation $\bar{\rho}$ that is unramified outside $p$ and whose Serre weight $k(\bar{\rho})$ is 2).*

*(ii) Assume $p > 3$. There is no semistable $\bar{\rho}$ with (prime to $p$) Artin conductor either 2,3,5,7,13 and $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$.*

*(iii) For $p = 5, 7, 13$ there is no Serre type $\bar{\rho}$ that is unramified outside $p$ and such that $k(\bar{\rho}) = p + 1$.*

**Proof.** Let us prove (i). The case $p = 3$ is taken care of by [43], page 710, which proves that there is no odd 2-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_3})$ unramified outside 3. For $p > 3$, (i) follows from Theorem 3.1 and the main result of [23] and [54]. For instance [54] uses a

7-adic representation that is part of the system to apply Fontaine's result, while [23] uses a 3-adic representation that is part of the system and then uses [45] and Serre's result from [43].

For (ii), the argument is similar. This time again use Theorem 3.1 to get minimal compatible lift $(\rho_\lambda)$ of $\bar{\rho}$ (of weight 2), and use the refinements of [24] in Brumer-Kramer and Schoof, [14] and [39], which yield that there are no semistable abelian varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction outside one of 2,3,5,7 or 13.

Part (iii) again follows by quoting results in loc. cit. after using Theorem 3.1 to get weight 2 semistable liftings.

□

**Remark:** Assuming GRH and assuming $p > 3$, one deduces using Calegari [15] that there is no irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with conductor 6 and Serre weight 2. By similar methods, using Theorem 1.3 of [39] one can probably rule out some more cases. Theorem 4.1 gives a slightly simpler proof of Fermat's Last Theorem which was proven in [53] (we will not have to use the most difficult of the level lowering results which are those proven by Ribet in [36] (at least for $p > 49$ to avoid residually solvable cases), nor the modularity of the putative $\bar{\rho}$ of level 2, and weight 2 which a solution of Fermat's equation leads to!)

**Corollary 4.2** *If $p$ is odd then the only $(p,p)$-type finite flat group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$ are $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_p$ or $\mu_p \oplus \mu_p$.*

For $p = 2$, see Abrashkin [1].

**Proof.** After part (i) of Theorem 4.1 this follows from Serre's arguments in Section 4.5 of [44]. □

In fact using our methods we can also rule out the existence of some higher weight $\bar{\rho}$ in accordance with the predictions of Serre.

**Theorem 4.3** *There is no Serre type $\bar{\rho}$ of level 1 such that $2 \leq k(\bar{\rho}) \leq 8$, or $k(\bar{\rho}) = 14$.*

**Proof.** We begin by observing that the Serre weight of $\bar{\rho}$ is even. We begin by noting that there is no irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with $p = 3$ and unramified outside 3. This in fact has been proven directly By Serre in [43], page 710, we know that there is no irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with $p = 3$ and unramified outside 3.

- $k(\bar{\rho}) = 4$: Now let $p$ be any prime $> 3$, and $\bar{\rho}$ be an irreducible representation with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 4$. We use Theorem 3.1 to get a strictly compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$, of weight 4 (with good reduction everywhere). Consider a prime $\lambda$ above 3: then by results in 4.1 of [4], and as the residual representation is globally and hence of course locally at 3 reducible, we see that $\rho_\lambda$ is ordinary at 3, and hence by Skinner-Wiles [45] (note that the 3-adic representation we are considering is 3-distinguished) corresponds to a cusp form of level 1 and weight 4 of which there are none.

- $k(\bar{\rho}) = 6$: Suppose we have an irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 6$ as in the theorem ($p > 3$).

  We begin by proving the claim that there is no irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with $p = 5$ and unramified outside 5 (this was known earlier only under the GRH in [13]). By twisting we may assume that $k(\bar{\rho}) \leq 6$, and thus by the previous step and theorem 4.1 that $k(\bar{\rho}) = 6$. Using Theorem 3.1, we get a strictly compatible sytem of weight 2 representations $(\rho_\lambda)$ (whose Weil-Deligne parameters are unramified outside 5 and semistable at 5) such that for a place above 5 the residual representation is $\bar{\rho}$. But again by what was recalled in proof of Theorem 4.1, such a system cannot exist by results of [39]. We thus see that we have proved the claim.

  Now let $p > 5$ be any prime, and $\bar{\rho}$ be an absolutely irreducible representation with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 6$. This time we use Theorem 3.1 to get a compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ of weight 6, i.e., Hodge-Tate of weights $(0, 5)$, and with good reduction everywhere. Consider a prime $\lambda$ above 5: then by results in [4], and as the residual representation is globally and hence of course locally reducible at 5, we see that $\rho_\lambda$ is ordinary at 5, and hence by Skinner-Wiles [45] corresponds to a cusp form of level 1 and weight 6 of which there are none.

- $k(\bar{\rho}) = 8$: Consider an irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 8$ as in the theorem. By what we just did we see that $p > 5$.

  We begin by proving the claim that there is no such irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with $p = 7$ and unramified outside 7. By twisting we may assume that $k(\bar{\rho}) \leq 8$, and thus by the previous steps that $k(\bar{\rho}) = 8$. Using Theorem 3.1, we get a strictly compatible sytem of weight 2 semistable representations $(\rho_\lambda)$ (with good reduction outside 7, and semistable reduction at 7) such that for a place above 7 the residual representation is $\bar{\rho}$. But again by what was recalled in proof of Theorem 4.1, results of [39] show that such a system cannot exist. We thus see that we have proved the claim.

  Now let $p$ be a prime $> 7$, and $\bar{\rho}$ be an irreducible representation with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 8$. This time we use Theorem 3.1 to get a compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ of weight 8, i.e., of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, 7)$ (with good reduction everywhere). Consider a prime $\lambda$ above 7: then by results in [4], and as the residual representation is globally and hence of course locally reducible at 7, we see that $\rho_\lambda$ is ordinary at 7, and hence by Skinner-Wiles corresponds to a cusp form of level 1 and weight 8 of which there are none.

- $k(\bar{\rho}) = 14$: Consider an irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 14$ as in the theorem. We first deal with the case $p = 11$. It is easy to see, by the definition of the Serre weight, that $\bar{\rho}$ is a twist by $\chi$ of a level 1 representation with Serre weight 2, that as we have ruled out.

  We next prove the inexistence of $\bar{\rho}$, with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 14$, for $p = 13$. Using Theorem 3.1 we get a strictly compatible sytem of weight 2 semistable representations $(\rho_\lambda)$ (with good reduction outside 13 and semistable at 13) such that for a place above 13 the residual representation is $\bar{\rho}$. But again by what was recalled in proof of Theorem 4.1, such a system cannot exist. We thus see that we have proved the inexistence of $\bar{\rho}$.

  Now let $p > 11$, and $\bar{\rho}$ be a mod $p$ Serre type representation of level 1, with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 14$. This time we use Theorem 3.1 to get a compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ that has good reduction everywhere and is crystalline of weight 14, i.e., of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, 13)$. Consider a prime $\lambda$ above 13. Then by results in [4], and as the residual representation is globally and hence of course locally reducible at 13, we see that $\rho_\lambda$ is ordinary at 13, and hence by Skinner-Wiles [45] corresponds to a cusp form of level 1 and weight 14 of which there are none.

$\square$

The following corollary we have proved in the course of the proof above is worth noting (the cases $p = 2, 3$ are theorems of Tate and Serre):

**Corollary 4.4** *For the primes $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ there are no irreducible, odd 2 dimensional mod $p$ representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$*, unramified outside $p$.*

**Theorem 4.5** *For a odd prime $p$, there is no 2 dimensional odd irreducible $p$-adic representation of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ *which is unramified outside $p$ and crystalline of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, w)$, with $0 \leq w \leq 7$ or $w = 13$ and $p \geq w$ (i.e., we rule out $w = 1, 3, 5, 7, 13$ as $w$ is easily seen to be odd [54]). There is no irreducible strictly compatible system of 2 dimensional irreducible $\lambda$-adic representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ *which has good reduction everywhere and is crystalline of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, w)$, with $0 \leq w \leq 7$ or $w = 13$.*

**Proof.** Let $k = w+1$. We prove the statement that there is no 2 dimensional odd irreducible $p$-adic representation $\rho$ which is unramified outside $p$ and crystalline at $p$ of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, w)$ with $1 \leq w \leq 7$ or $w = 13$, and in each case $p \geq w$, from which the second statement follows easily. If $w = 1$, or $w = 3$ and $p \geq 7$, this is already in [23] and [54]. Notice that in each of the other cases, for the values of $w$ considered, $w$ is an odd prime. The case $p = 3$ has already been taken care of by [43], [45] and [4] (its relevant only for $k = 1, 3$).

If the representation is residually reducible, then again using [4] (which can be used because of our assumption $p+1 \geq k$) and [45] we see that $\rho$ arises from a cusp form of level 1 and weight $k$ either at most 10 or weight 14, which do not exist. If residually the representation is irreducible, we get a compatible system as in Theorem 3.1, and then consider the corresponding residual representation $\bar{\rho}$ at the prime $w$. We claim that $\bar{\rho}$ is reducible. We know that locally at $w$ by [4] that the Serre weight of the residual representation can be either 2 or $w+1$ both of which we have ruled out as weights which can occur for irreducible $\bar{\rho}$. Hence the residual representation is reducible and we are done by the previous analysis. □

**Theorem 4.6** *Let $\bar{\rho}$ be a Serre type, level 1 representation, with Serre weight 12. Then $\bar{\rho}$ arises from the Ramanujan $\Delta$ function.*

**Proof.** From Corollary 4.4 we see that we may assume that $p \geq 11$. Using Theorem 3.1, we get a strictly compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ with good reduction everywhere and is crystalline of Hodge-Tate weight $(0, 11)$ and at a prime above $p$ the representation is residually $\bar{\rho}$. Consider a prime above 11 and reduce the corresponding 11-adic representation that is a part of $(\rho_\lambda)$ mod 11. If we get a reducible representation then again using Remark 4.1.2 of [4] and Skinner-Wiles [45] we are done. Otherwise the representation, call it $\bar{\rho}_{11}$

is irreducible and again using [4] its easily seen as before to be très ramifiée (and hence of Serre weight 12), as we have ruled out the weight 2 case.

Now using Theorem 2.1, we get another lift $\rho'_{11}$ of $\bar{\rho}_{11}$, which is unramified outside 11 and semistable at 11. By Theorem 3.1 $\rho'_{11}$ arises from an abelian variety $A$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, as $\rho'_{11}$ is unramified outside 11 and semistable at 11, $A$ is semistable and has good reduction outside 11. But Schoof [39] has proven that such an abelian variety $A$ is isogenous to a power of $J_0(11)$. The Galois representation on points of order 11 of $J_0(11)$ is absolutely irreducible, is ordinary at 11, and is isomorphic to the representation modulo 11 associated to $\Delta$ (for example Théorème 11 of [43] for the latter), and thus $\bar{\rho}_{11}$ itself arises from $\Delta$. The image of the mod 11 representation arising from $\Delta$, and hence that of $\bar{\rho}_{11}$, is all of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ (see [41]). Thus using modularity theorems of Wiles [53] for the 11-adic representation that figures in the compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ (and we also need as before to use [4], to see that the lift is ordinary at 11 as the residual weight is 12, and hence $\bar{\rho}_{11}$ is très ramifiée and in particular ordinary), we conclude that the compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ arises from the Ramanujan $\Delta$ function, and hence that $\bar{\rho}$ arises from the Ramanujan $\Delta$ function. (Of course sometimes there may be no irreducible $\bar{\rho}$ as in the theorem: but these primes have been determined by Swinnerton-Dyer, they being 2, 3, 5, 7, 691, see [41].) □

**Corollary 4.7** *Any 2 dimensional irreducible p-adic representation $\rho$ which is unramified outside $p$ and crystalline at $p$ of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, 11)$, and $p \geq 11$, arises from the Ramanujan $\Delta$ function. Furthermore any irreducible strictly compatible system of 2 dimensional irreducible $\lambda$-adic representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ with good reduction everywhere and of Hodge-Tate weights $(0, 11)$ arises from the Ramanujan $\Delta$ function.*

**Proof.** We prove only the first statement. If $\rho$ is residually reducible, using [4] and [45] we are done. Assume not. If $p \geq 13$, as $2 \times 12 \neq p + 3$, we can apply theorem 6.1. of [49], and by [23] and [54] we can make $\rho$ of a compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ and consider a representation above 11 of this system. We are reduced to the case $p = 11$. If residually it is reducible we see as before using [45] that it, and hence the compatible system, arises from a newform, and we are done. If it is irreducible, then by Theorem 4.6 we know that the residual representation $\bar{\rho}$ arises from the $\Delta$ function, and we are done by [47]. □

**Corollary 4.8** *For $p = 11, 13$ the only $\bar{\rho}$ of level 1 of Serre type are those that have a twist of Serre weight 12 and these arise from $\Delta$ (up to twist), and those $\bar{\rho}$ one of whose twists is weight 10, and such that the local representation at $p$ is non-semisimple.*

*For $p$ bigger than 13, there is no Serre type $\bar{\rho}$ of level 1 of Serre weights $p-1, p-3, p-5, p-7, p-13$ when the local representation at $p$ is reducible and split and in the case $p-11$ if it exists it arises from $\Delta$ up to twist. There is no Serre type of $\bar{\rho}$ of weights $p-1, p-3, p-5, p-11$ when the local representation at $p$ is irreducible and in the case $p-9$ if it exists it arises from $\Delta$ up to twist.*

**Proof.** This follows from the previous work using the observation that when $\bar{\rho}|_{D_p}$ is semisimple with $2 \leq k(\bar{\rho}) < p$, $\bar{\rho} \otimes \overline{\chi_p}^i$ is such that $2 \leq k(\bar{\rho} \otimes \overline{\chi_p}^i) \leq p+1$ for some $i$ with $0 < i < p-1$. When the the local representation at $p$ is reducible and split, such twist is of Serre weight $p - k(\bar{\rho}) + 1$. When the local representation at $p$ is irreducible and $k(\bar{\rho}) \neq 2$, such a twist is of weight $p - k(\bar{\rho}) + 3$. For example, for $p = 11$ in the locally irreducible case, we use our result for Serre weight 4, and in the locally completely reducible case for Serre weight 2. For $p = 13$ in the irreducible case we use our result for Serre weight 6, and in the completely reducible case for Serre weight 4. $\square$

**Remark:** The case of Serre type $\bar{\rho}$ of level 1 with $k(\bar{\rho}) = 10$, which should not exist, cannot be treated directly by the methods here (as 9 is not a prime for instance). In this case it might be possible to get potentially Barsotti-Tate lifts at $p$ using methods of this paper and [18], and then using results of [40] which are conditional on GRH that rule out abelian varieties that have everywhere good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11})$.

# 5 Two reductions of residual modularity to modularity lifting results, via induction on primes

## 5.1 Level 1 case of Serre's conjecture: reduction to modularity lifting for moderate weights

We now address the question of proving Serre's conjecture for Serre type $\bar{\rho}$ of level 1. By results of Tate and Serre we may assume $p > 3$. By twisting we may assume that the Serre weight $k(\bar{\rho}) \leq p+1$. Using Theorem 3.1 we get a strictly compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ with good reduction everywhere and crystalline of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$ and such that a place above $p$ the residual representation is $\bar{\rho}$. Now if we reduce the compatible system at a prime above 3 by Serre's result quote before we get a residually reducible representation and hence one might try to adapt [45] to this per force non-ordinary and very high weight situation! This might be very hard technically. We ameliorate this a little by proving the following theorem which reduces Serre's conjecture in the level 1 situation to what might hopefully be a more tractable modularity lifting theorem. The argument of moving the prime around was suggested by the proofs in the section on low levels and low weights (especially proof of Theorem 4.3).

In both the theorems below because of the condition of oddness and the semistability assumption the Serre weight of the representations considered is always even and in particular $\neq p$.

**Theorem 5.1** *For every odd prime $p$, assume the following statement:*

*Let $\bar{\rho} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation of level one with $\mathbb{F}$ a finite field of characteristic $p$, that is either irreducible and modular, or is reducible. For any $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_2(\mathcal{O})$, with $\mathcal{O}$ the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, that is a continuous lift of such a $\bar{\rho}$, and that is unramified outside $p$ and crystalline of weight between 2 and $2p$ (in fact may even assume that the weight is actually at most 1 more than the next prime after $p$), assume $\rho$ is modular.*

*Then Serre's conjecture in level 1 is true.*

**Proof.**

We prove this theorem by induction on the prime $p$. (In fact what the argument will prove is that if we know the lifting statement for a prime, and Serre's conjecture for that prime, then we know the level one Serre conjecture for the next prime, or even a much larger batch of subsequent prime(s).) As we have proven Serre's conjecture in the level 1 case for primes less than 11, we start with 7 for which by Corollary 4.4 we know the level 1 case of Serre's conjecture. Suppose Serre's level one conjecture is proven for a prime $p_n$. We want to prove it for the next prime $p_{n+1}$. Thus assume we have an irreducible, odd, 2-dimensional mod $p_{n+1}$ representation $\bar{\rho}$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ which by twisting we can assume has Serre weight $k(\bar{\rho}) \leq p_{n+1}+1$.

Now we use Theorem 3.1 to get a compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ that is unramified everywhere, is crystalline of weight $k(\bar\rho)$ and for a prime above $p_{n+1}$ we get a $p_{n+1}$-adic representation that is part of the compatible system, and which lifts $\bar\rho$. This $\rho$ is unramified outside $p_{n+1}$ and is crystalline at $p_{n+1}$ of Hodge Tate weights $(0, k(\bar\rho) - 1)$. We use Bertrand's postulate to see that $p_{n+1} \leq 2p_n - 1$, and thus by assumption we get the modularity of the member of the compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ at a prime above $p_n$ by the hypothesis of the theorem, as the induction hypothesis guarantees residual modularity for mod $p_n$ representations. Thus we get the modularity of the compatible sytem $(\rho_\lambda)$ and thus that of $\bar\rho$. This completes the induction step and hence the proof of the theorem. $\Box$

**Remarks:**

- The reduction to moderate weights (i.e., between 2 and $2p$) in Theorem 5.1 perhaps is technically critical as the conjectures of Breuil in [11] (see Conjecture 6.1) about reductions of crystalline representations of weights at most $2p$ have a simple form. These conjectures may be close to be proven, and this might be helpful in proving the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1.

- When the liftings $\rho$ are ordinary the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1 is satisfied by results of [53], [45] (note that as weights are even the distinguishedness hypothesis of that paper is satisfied).

## 5.2 Killing ramification

The process of killing ramification is the following. Suppose you wish to prove that a compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ is modular. Let $\lambda_0$ be above a prime of ramification of $(\rho_\lambda)$. One applies the theorem 3.1 to a cyclotomic twist of $\bar\rho_{\lambda_0}$ to get a compatible system $(\rho'_{\lambda'})$ whose set of ramification primes is smaller than the set of ramification primes of $(\rho_\lambda)$. If one knows by induction modularity of $(\rho'_{\lambda'})$, one get modularity of $\bar\rho_{\lambda_0}$, hence modularity of $(\rho_\lambda)$ if one has the needed modularity lifting theorem. We give an example of a more precise statement:

**Theorem 5.2** *For every odd prime p we assume the following statement:*

*Let* $\bar\rho' : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ *be a continuous representation of squarefree conductor with* $\mathbb{F}$ *a finite field of characteristic p, that is either irreducible and modular, or is reducible. For any* $\rho' : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_2(\mathcal{O})$, *with* $\mathcal{O}$ *the ring of integers of a finite extension of* $\mathbb{Q}_p$, *that is a continuous lift of such a*

*$\bar\rho'$, and which is semistable at primes outside $p$ and of Serre weight between 2 and $p+1$ at $p$, assume $\rho'$ is modular.*

*From this we deduce that Serre's conjecture for level one implies that any $\bar\rho$ (with $p$ bigger than 3) which is an irreducible 2-dimensional odd mod $p$ representation with odd squarefree conductor prime to 3, and whose determinant is ramified only at $p$, and such that its Serre weight $k(\bar\rho)$ is either $p+1$, or is at most 1 more than the least prime ramified in $\bar\rho$, is modular.*

**Proof.** Consider a semistable $\bar\rho$ which is an irreducible mod $p$ representation with $p$ an odd prime. (In the process below whenever we reach a representation that is residually solvable we can stop.)

- We first begin by showing how we may deal with the case $k(\bar\rho) = p + 1$, and reduce it to the other allowed cases. We use Theorem 3.1 to lift $\bar\rho$ to a strictly compatible semistable system $(\rho_\lambda)$ of weight 2 that is has semistable reduction at $p$. Consider a large prime $q$ at which a $q$-adic member of the system is crystalline of Hodge-Tate weights $(1, 0)$ and the residual representation $\bar\rho_q$ is irreducible. It will be enough to prove modularity of $\bar\rho_q$ as then known modularity lifting theorems as in [53] would prove modularity of the entire compatible system $(\rho_\lambda)$ and hence of $\bar\rho$.

- Let $S = \{q_1, \cdots, q_n\}$ be the primes that are ramified for $\bar\rho$ not including $p$, written in increasing order, and we assume that $k(\bar\rho)$ is at most 1 more than the least prime ramified in $\bar\rho$.

The proof is by induction on the cardinality of $S$ for the type of $\bar\rho$ in the statement. The case when $S$ is empty is the case we are assuming. Use Theorem 3.1 to get a strictly compatible minimal system that we again denote by $(\rho_\lambda)$ which at a prime above $p$ reduces to $\bar\rho$, and is crystalline of weight $k(\bar\rho)$. We consider a residual representation $\bar\rho_{Q_1}$ attached to this system at a prime $Q_1$ above $q_1$. The Serre weight of $\bar\rho_{Q_1}$ is $\leq q_1 + 1$ by assumption and the (prime to $q_1$) Artin conductor of $\bar\rho_{q_1}$ is divisible by at least one prime less than that of the (prime to $p$) Artin conductor of $\bar\rho$. Then by the inductive hypothesis, we deduce that $\bar\rho_{Q_1}$ is modular, and then by the lifting hypothesis of the theorem we see that $\rho_{Q_1}$, and hence $(\rho_\lambda)$, arises from a newform.

- In the end we are reduced, assuming the hypothesis of the theorem, to proving the modularity of $\bar\rho$ when it is irreducible of level 1 and $p$ is some odd prime as asserted.

□

**Remarks:**

- The modularity lifting hypothesis of Theorem 5.2 maybe accessible at least for weights at most $p-1$ using results of [12] (and that explains why we have made the restrictive weight hypothesis in the theorem).

- To us the cases of modularity lifting that are needed in Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 that seem hardest, are the residually degenerate cases, i.e., dihedral induced from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{p-1/2}p})$ or reducible and when the lifts whose modularity needs to be established are non-ordinary liftings. The residually "non-degenerate" cases, while not as yet proven or available in the literature, seem accessible because of the basic method of Wiles et al. and its recent developments due to Kisin in [31], together with the results of Breuil, Berger, Li, Mezard, Zhu, see [11], [3], [4], [12].

# References

[1] V. A. Abrashkin. Galois modules of group schemes of period $p$ over the ring of Witt vectors. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., (translation in Math. USSR-Izv. 31 (1988), no. 1, 1–46)*, 51(4):691–736, 910, 1987.

[2] James Arthur and Laurent Clozel. *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, volume 120 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[3] Laurent Berger. Limites de représentations cristallines. *Compositio Mathematica*, 140(6):1473–1498, 2004.

[4] Laurent Berger, Hanfeng Li, and Hui June Zhu. Construction of some families of 2-dimensional crystalline representations. *Math. Ann.*, 329(2):365–377, 2004.

[5] Don Blasius and Jonathan D. Rogawski. Motives for Hilbert modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 114(1):55–87, 1993.

[6] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato. $L$-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I*, volume 86 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 333–400. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[7] Gebhard Böckle. A local-to-global principle for deformations of Galois representations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 509:199–236, 1999.

[8] Gebhard Böckle. Demuškin groups with group actions and applications to deformations of Galois representations. *Compositio Math.*, 121(2):109–154, 2000.

[9] Gebhard Böckle and Chandrashekhar Khare. Mod $\ell$ representations of arithmetic fundamental groups: Parts I and II. *preprint*, 2003.

[10] Christophe Breuil. Une remarque sur les représentations locales $p$-adiques et les congruences entre formes modulaires de Hilbert. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 127(3):459–472, 1999.

[11] Christophe Breuil. Sur quelques représentations modulaires et $p$-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. II. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2(1):23–58, 2003.

[12] Christophe Breuil and Ariane Mézard. Multiplicités modulaires et représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ et de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ en $l = p$. *Duke Math. J.*, 115(2):205–310, 2002. With an appendix by Guy Henniart.

[13] Sharon Brueggeman. The nonexistence of certain Galois extensions unramified outside 5. *J. Number Theory*, 75(1):47–52, 1999.

[14] Armand Brumer and Kenneth Kramer. Non-existence of certain semistable abelian varieties. *Manuscripta Math.*, 106(3):291–304, 2001.

[15] F Calegari. Non-existence of certain semistable abelian varieties. *to appear in manuscripta mathematica.*

[16] Henri Carayol. Sur les représentations $l$-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 19(3):409–468, 1986.

[17] Henri Carayol. Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet. In *p-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991)*, volume 165 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 213–237. Amer. Math. Soc., 1994.

[18] Brian Conrad. Ramified deformation problems. *Duke Math. J.*, 97(3):439–513, 1999.

[19] Brian Conrad, Fred Diamond, and Richard Taylor. Modularity of certain potentially Barsotti-Tate Galois representations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(2):521–567, 1999.

[20] Henri Darmon, Fred Diamond, and Richard Taylor. Fermat's last theorem. In *Current developments in mathematics, 1995 (Cambridge, MA)*, pages 1–154. Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.

[21] A. J. de Jong. A conjecture on arithmetic fundamental groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 121:61–84, 2001.

[22] Fred Diamond. An extension of Wiles' results. In *Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995)*, pages 475–489. Springer, New York, 1997.

[23] Luis Dieulefait. Existence of families of Galois representations and new cases of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture. *http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/gtem/view.php, to be published in J. reine angew. Math.*, 2004.

[24] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Il n'y a pas de variété abélienne sur $\mathbf{Z}$. *Invent. Math.*, 81(3):515–538, 1985.

[25] Koji Fujiwara. Deformation rings and Hecke algebras for totally real fields. *preprint*, 1999.

[26] Haruzo Hida. On $p$-adic Hecke algebras for $\mathrm{GL}_2$ over totally real fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 128(2):295–384, 1988.

[27] Haruzo Hida. *Elementary theory of L-functions and Eisenstein series*, volume 26 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[28] Frazer Jarvis. Correspondences on Shimura curves and Mazur's principle at $p$. *Pacific J. Math.*, 213(2):267–280, 2004.

[29] Chandrashekhar Khare. On isomorphisms between deformation rings and Hecke rings. *Invent. Math.*, 154(1):199–222, 2003. With an appendix by Gebhard Böckle.

[30] Chandrashekhar Khare and Ravi Ramakrishna. Finiteness of Selmer groups and deformation rings. *Invent. Math.*, 154(1):179–198, 2003.

[31] Mark Kisin. Modularity of potentially Barsotti-Tate representations and moduli of finite flat group schemes. *preprint*.

[32] Barry Mazur. An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations. In *Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995)*, pages 243–311. Springer, New York, 1997.

[33] A. Mokrane. Quelques remarques sur l'ordinarité. *J. Number Theory*, 73(2):162–181, 1998.

[34] Laurent Moret-Bailly. Groupes de Picard et problèmes de Skolem. I, II. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 22(2):161–179, 181–194, 1989.

[35] Ravi Ramakrishna. Deforming Galois representations and the conjectures of Serre and Fontaine-Mazur. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 156(1):115–154, 2002.

[36] K. A. Ribet. On modular representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ arising from modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 100(2):431–476, 1990.

[37] Kenneth A. Ribet. Report on mod $l$ representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 639–676.

[38] Takeshi Saito. Hilbert modular forms and $p$-adic Hodge theory. *preprint.*

[39] René Schoof. Abelian varieties over $\mathbf{Q}$ with bad reduction in one prime only. *preprint.*

[40] René Schoof. Abelian varieties over cyclotomic fields with good reduction everywhere. *Math. Ann.*, 325(3):413–448, 2003.

[41] Jean-Pierre Serre. Une interprétation des congruences relatives à la fonction $\tau$ de Ramanujan. In *Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou: 1967/68, Théorie des Nombres, Fasc. 1, Exp. 14*, page 17. Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1969.

[42] Jean-Pierre Serre. Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta $p$-adiques. In *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 191–268. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 350. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[43] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Œuvres. Vol. III.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. 1972–1984.

[44] Jean-Pierre Serre. Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):179–230, 1987.

[45] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles. Residually reducible representations and modular forms. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (89):5–126 (2000), 1999.

[46] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles. Base change and a problem of Serre. *Duke Math. J.*, 107(1):15–25, 2001.

[47] C. M. Skinner and Andrew J. Wiles. Nearly ordinary deformations of irreducible residual representations. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 10(1):185–215, 2001.

[48] Richard Taylor. On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 98(2):265–280, 1989.

[49] Richard Taylor. On the meromorphic continuation of degree two L-functions. *Preprint*, pages 1–53, 2001.

[50] Richard Taylor. Remarks on a conjecture of Fontaine and Mazur. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 1(1):125–143, 2002.

[51] Richard Taylor. On icosahedral Artin representations. II. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125(3):549–566, 2003.

[52] Richard Taylor and Andrew Wiles. Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 141(3):553–572, 1995.

[53] Andrew Wiles. Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 141(3):443–551, 1995.

[54] J.-P Wintenberger. Sur les représentations $p$-adiques géométriques de conducteur 1 et de dimension 2 de $g_{\mathbb{Q}}$. *arXiv math.NT/0406576*, 2004.

**Addresses of the authors:**
CK: 155 S 1400 E, Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA. e-mail: `shekhar@math.utah.edu`, *and*, School of Mathematics, TIFR, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, INDIA. e-mail: `shekhar@math.tifr.res.in`

JPW: Université Louis Pasteur Département de Mathématiques, IRMA 7,
rue René Descartes 67084 Strasbourg Cedex France. e-mail: `wintenb@math.u-strasbg.fr`,
tel 03 90 24 02 17 , fax 03 90 24 03 28